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BOOK LAUNCH AND END OF YEAR CIPL EVENT 2015


Photo taken at the CIPL End of Year Drinks 2015, with Professor Stephen Bottomley at the podium, with Professor Kim Rubenstein and Dr Hitoshi Nasu looking on, as Professor Bottomley concluded the evening’s formalities.
Director’s Note

Professor Kim Rubenstein

I feel a mix of emotions as I write this final Director’s note, completing my term as Director of the Centre for International and Public law.

I’d first like to acknowledge the great administrative support provided to CIPL. When I began in 2006 Kavitha Robinson, CIPL’s Administrator, was about to go on maternity leave. Her daughter has just turned 10! Valerie Wayte came on board for that first 6 months and Valerie and I learnt the ropes together, including how to manage an overflowing RSVP response to the then Lt Cl Dan Mori who spoke about his work at that time on behalf of David Hicks. We ended up filling one of the largest Manning Clark Theatres at ANU and needing TV monitors outside for the overflow. We couldn’t use Llewellyn Hall as it had been flooded after a major Canberra storm and was undergoing a major refurbishment! Kavitha returned and in 2010 I encouraged her to take up a more senior position at the ANU and indeed she has gone onto greater positions since, now as Development Manager at the Research School of Physics and Engineering. Tania Mark then became CIPL administrator and worked with me with great gusto until the whole frame of the Centre’s administrative support changed with the establishment of the College Outreach and Administrative support (COAST) team. Wendy Mohring took on the role of CIPL within COAST, together with many other duties more broadly for the College. It has been wonderful working with each of those individuals and later the whole COAST team. CIPL wouldn’t have been able to do as much as it has over the last 10 years without the essential administrative support it has received.

CIPL has also received tremendous care from both Deans – Michael Coper appointed me and gave me much encouragement. He has been a constant source of collegiality and support. Stephen Bottomley has continued to back all that I have done during his term and I thank them both. They, together with all my colleagues here in the ANU College of Law, both CIPL members and beyond, have made the job of Director of CIPL so enjoyable. I would also like to thank all members of CIPL’s advisory board over the 10 years who have made such rich and helpful contributions to what we have done during my term.

One of my final ‘events’ as CIPL Director was held in the Philippa Weeks staff library which took me back to Thursday 26 May 2005 when I stood in that room as a shortlisted applicant for the position – given the opportunity to spend 15 minutes on a substantive topic of my choice and 15 minutes on my vision for CIPL’s future. The day after I was interviewed in the Minter Ellison room where Philippa, who the staff library is now named after, was one of the members on the selection committee. Sadly she and Mike Taggart from New Zealand, also on the Committee, are no longer with us, and two of the Committee, Penelope Matthew and Simon Bronitt have moved to Queensland. Michael Coper, Leighton McDonald, Fiona Wheeler and Bill
Maley are all still at ANU and I thank them all for giving me the opportunities I have had in being Director over the past 10 years.

I began my presentation that day in May 2005 as many of you might imagine, talking about citizenship. It has been a continuing research and public policy interest of mine over the 10 years—and it is actually lovely that the final CIPL Tuesday Seminar for the year, held on Tuesday 24 November, was about citizenship—and the Bill recently passed by Parliament. Dr Genevieve Ebbeck from AGS and I spoke about the constitutional and international law issues around that Bill in a fitting end to my own specific interests and the interplay of those with CIPL!

I have found it affirming going back to my vision for CIPL that I set out when I presented to my future colleagues. I explained I had looked at all the available CIPL reports since its inception and read a lot about its activities over the years. I then identified four areas as my vision for CIPL:

- Research core and foundation to the Centre
- Commitment to community
- The linking of international and public law
- Community outreach

Research core and foundation to the Centre

Professor Philip Alston’s first report in 1990 as the Director of the newly established Centre introduced me to the fact that when ANU established CIPL its full formal title was the Centre for Advanced Legal Studies in International and Public law. I think this aspect of the title—Advanced Legal Studies, reminds us of the fundamental starting point for a Centre such as this. It is about advancing our understandings, from a legal academic base, of international and public law. This reminds us of its research centre and core and was my central driving focus for my term, as I explain further below.

Commitment to community.

Moving from that core base, my vision for CIPL also built upon the place from which CIPL operates—and indeed the special position it holds in being based in Canberra, the political apex of Australia—close to the High Court, close to DFAT and AGD and indeed close to all aspects of public law in action. It is a vision that I thoroughly enjoyed developing with the executive, judicial and legislative branches of government. I was delighted Katrina Cooper, Senior Legal Adviser from DFAT, and a member of our Advisory Board (who I met at DFAT within my first year in one of her earlier roles) launched the fifth book in our CIPL book series that I will refer to further below. It was also heartening that she has sent one of her officers, Christina Hey Nyugen to be the first CIPL Government visitor, an initiative that strengthens that core vision of commitment to the legal and policy community that CIPL serves so well here in Canberra.
The linking of international and public law

I was very interested in the 1995 ‘five-year review report’ prepared by CIPL for the Committee for the Review of CIPL (now 20 years ago) commenting on the linking of international and public law. I think the ANU was most prescient in its decision to set up a Centre linking these areas. As the five year review report stated, ‘the most remarkable developments of the past decade or so—have been the ‘internationalisation’ and ‘globalisation’ of different dimensions of Australian society, the Australian economy and the Australian legal system.’

The review stated that the Centre had sought to respond to these inexorable trends by adopting a genuinely integrated approach to its work in various fields of both public and international law. When responding to the question ‘Should CIPL Continue in its present form’ the review committee stated that the issue had been raised, in nothing more than a tentative way, whether CIPL might be split into separate centres for international and for public law.’ In responding, the Committee considered ‘the growing importance of the interaction between international law and public law, and the intellectual coherence of their combination, justifies continuation of the Centre in its present form’.

I said then, 10 years ago and I repeat now—that ANU was ahead of its time in establishing a centre that combines international and public law ‘in short, if the Centre did not exist in the ANU, it would have to be invented and placed right where it is—in the principal university in the nation’s capital’.

I am proud of the six part CIPL Cambridge University Press series, Connecting International with Public law that grew out of the 6 workshops held over my term as Director that brought together over 100 academics from around the world to engage as public and international lawyers and policy makers around six different research themes. Having the research series concentrating on the linking of public and international law has, I believe, made a significant research and intellectual contribution to legal and policy thinking and ways forward in those areas.

Community outreach

Finally, I identified strongly, when I stood in the staff library on that day, with the excellent standing CIPL had attained regarding community outreach; meaning public policy outreach. As I commented then, this is fundamental to a broader notion of citizenship that I discuss in my own work—active citizenship—and also one that I am committed to as a member of the Australian and international community.

I commented then that I have always been guided by the profound message of an ancient Jewish scholar, Hillel—who said:

> If I am not for myself, who will be for me, but if I am only for myself, what am I, and if not now, when?
I sought to translate that message to the Centre throughout my term—to be true to itself, in its commitment to the academic pursuits which lie at its core and foundation—but also conscious of the values of those academic pursuits beyond the University—contributing to various communities—and ‘if not now, when’ as a great reminder that we must always act when we can, and not leave for tomorrow things that can be done, importantly, today.

And importantly in this final Director’s note, I began by thanking those people in the ANU College of Law who have been integral to my time at CIPL—a time that has also seen me involved with the ANU Gender Institute and as a Public Policy Fellow at the ANU. There are many outside the ANU College of Law at ANU who have given me and the Centre great support—I thank them all sincerely!

My husband Garry Sturgess and our gorgeous and growing kids—Cohava and Eliezer Rubenstein Sturgess moved from Melbourne to Canberra in 2006 so we could begin an adventure together in a new city! Garry and the kids have been proud barrackers on the side of everything I do, and my life experience, so implicated by my relationship with them and my extended family is a constant source of sustenance and focus.

Finally—while I was appointed as CIPL Director in 2006, I did spend a sabbatical year in Melbourne in 2013. During that year James Stellios took on the role of Acting Director, and I am delighted that he has been appointed the new CIPL director from 2016. I have no doubt he’ll do an excellent job, as he did in 2013, and I wish him all the very best in his endeavours.

I look forward to doing whatever I can to assist him over the years to come and wish CIPL continuing success in its endeavours!

Professor Kim Rubenstein
Advisory Board

CIPL would like to extend its thanks to the CIPL Advisory Board for its collective input and commitment in guiding CIPL’s activities over the twelve months. The direction and support of the Advisory Board has been invaluable in deepening our research capacity and in enhancing linkages with government and citizens in the areas of international and public law. In our first CIPL Advisory Board meeting for 2015 in March we thanked outgoing member Justice Susan Kenny for her outstanding service over a decade as a member of the CIPL Advisory Board, and her generosity of spirit in supporting so much of CIPL’s work. We also welcomed in her place Justice Melissa Perry and look forward to her advice as member of our great team.

A special warm welcome to our new board members this year:

Advisory Board Members 2015

- Professor Stephen Bottomley, Chair, ANU College of Law
- Professor Kim Rubenstein, Director CIPL, ANU College of Law
- Mr Chris Moraitis, Attorney General’s Department
- Mr Richard Rowe, Adjunct Professor, ANU College of Law
- Ms Katrina Cooper, Senior Legal Adviser, DFAT
- Ms Vicki Parker, ACT Justice and Community Safety Directorate
- Justice Melissa Perry, Federal Court of Australia
- Justice Richard Refshauge, ACT Supreme Court
- Professor William Maley, ANU
Welcome to new members

CIPL welcomes its new members who have joined the ANU College of Law over the past year. All members of the ANU College of Law with interests in public and international law can be identified as members of CIPL.

Academic staff

**Jolyon Ford**

Jo Ford is a returning member to CIPL and the ANU College of Law, having been in Oxford since completing his PhD at RegNet (ANU) in early 2011. Jo’s book *Regulating Business for Peace* was published by Cambridge University Press in early 2015 and represents an attempt to apply insights from regulatory theory to the challenges of influencing responsible investor and business conduct during post-conflict transitions. His main area of research relates to the role and regulation of business actors in conflict prevention and recovery, and emerging regulatory frameworks on business and human rights. He is an Associate Fellow on the International Law programme at Chatham House, London, where his most recent paper was launched in September (‘Business and Human Rights: Bridging the Governance Gap’). He’ll be running a new online course ‘Business, Human Rights and Corporate Responsibility’ in 2016.

**Dorota Gozdecka**

Dorota’s primary research interests are in the area of cultural diversity within migration law. CIPL was delighted to support the launch of Dorota’s recently published book *Rights, Religious Pluralism and the Recognition of Difference*, a book about human rights and their principles of interpretation being the leading legal paradigms of our time. Dorota’s book critically evaluates religious pluralism as an emerging legal principle arising from attempts to define the boundaries of freedom of religion. The book examines religious pluralism as an underlying aspect of different human rights regimes and constitutional traditions. It is, however, the static and liberal shape religious pluralism has assumed that is taken up critically here. In order to address how difference is vulnerable to elimination, rather than recognition, the book takes up a contemporary ethics of alterity. More generally, and through its reconstruction of a more difference-friendly vision of religious pluralism, it tackles the problem of the role of rights in the era of diverse narratives of emancipation.
PhD students

CIPL welcomes the following new ANU College of Law PhD students whose research aligns with CIPL’s focus:

Shay Keinan is a PhD candidate at the ANU College of Law and has research interests in constitutional law and theory, diaspora studies, political theory and deliberative democracy.

Fiona Roughley is a PhD candidate at the ANU College of Law and her research concerns how and why the office of the Australian Attorney-General has developed in the way that it has. In addition to studying the history of this office since its creation at Federation, Fiona’s research is also comparative, looking to the Offices of Attorney-General in the colonies before Federation, the Australian States and Territories, the United States and England as a way to better understand and explain the nature and function of the modern Australian Attorney-General.

CIPL members appointed to new ECR fellowship

Congratulations to Ryan Goss and Lauren Butterly on their selection in the ANU University House ECR Fellowship Program.
2015 Events

Tuesday Talks

The Centre for International and Public Law— in partnership with the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade and the Attorney-General’s Department—held a very successful joint series in 2015 titled – Tuesday Talks, which it looks forward to continuing in 2016. Special thanks to ANU Adjunct Professor Richard Rowe for his support in coordinating the speakers.

Covering a range of contemporary and topical public and international law issues, the 2015 seminar series featured speakers from the public sector and academia, to provide a forum to share expertise in public and international law with the Canberra legal community.

February (ANU)

Climate change and the city
Elisabeth Costa and Dr Helmut Philipp Aust MLE

The world is rapidly urbanising and more and more people live in cities. At the same time, cities and towns all over the world are beginning to assert themselves as relevant international actors. This is particularly true in the climate change context, where cities are responsible for more than 70% of global greenhouse gas emissions and are beginning to cooperate in international fora and take steps at the municipal level to regulate emissions. This seminar took a closer look at this issue, both from a policy perspective and from the angle of international law. Should cities engage in climate change policy in the absence of binding international and domestic regulation on the matter? Are cities on the verge of becoming recognised actors in international law?

» Sound Cloud recording

March (DFAT)

Law of the Sea and the marine environment: from lex lata to lex ferenda: thinking outside the international legal box
Camille Goodman (ANU) and Dr Greg French (DFAT)

As a nation with one of the largest maritime domains, Australia has fundamental and abiding interests in promoting international norms for the protection and preservation of the marine environment and for the conservation and sustainable use of marine resources. This seminar explored some of the ways in which we have been working to advance these interests through innovative approaches in multilateral and bilateral negotiations, particularly in relation to fighting illegal fishing and helping prevent ship-sourced pollution in the Great Barrier Reef. It also looked at some possible future initiatives.

» Sound Cloud recording
April (AGD)

Conduct of the tobacco plain packaging litigation under the Hong Kong bilateral investment treaty and conduct of the tobacco plain packaging disputes at the WTO

Felicity Hammond (DFAT) and John Atwood (AGD)

Australia’s landmark Tobacco Plain Packaging (TPP) law entered into force in 2012, having survived a challenge in the Australian High Court. However, the TPP law is currently being challenged in an investor-State dispute settlement arbitration under the Australia-Hong Kong Bilateral Investment Treaty—the first time that Australia has been a Party in an ISDS arbitration. Separately, the TPP law is also being challenged by five WTO Members in WTO dispute settlement proceedings—one of the most significant WTO cases in many years, and one which has attracted a record number of WTO Members as third parties. This seminar examined the challenges faced and lessons learnt arising from Australia’s ongoing defence of its TPP law in these two international fora.

May (ANU)

Strengthening the fight against impunity

Stephen Rapp, Ambassador-at-Large for War Crimes Issues, Office of Global Criminal Justice, US Department of State

At a time when mass atrocities are being committed with apparent impunity in Syria, Iraq, South Sudan, and elsewhere, many see international criminal justice as having failed its promise.

Ambassador Rapp recounted the progress that has been achieved, and discussed what is necessary for international and national institutions to come closer to meeting the need for accountability.

June (DFAT)

Biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction: developments and issues

Cary Scott-Kemmis, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

In 2016 United Nations treaty negotiations for an agreement on ‘marine biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction’ will commence. Negotiations for this prospective agreement—which would build on existing international legal architecture under the Law of the Sea Convention—will explore possible legal regimes for the establishment/designation of high seas marine protected areas, as well as for access to, and exploitation of, marine genetic resources. This seminar explored some of the legal, technical and political issues with which States will grapple during the upcoming treaty negotiations.

July (ANU)

Artificial islands and international law

Professor Donald R Rothwell, Deputy Dean, ANU College of Law

The construction of artificial islands by coastal states within their claimed maritime zones raises a series of fundamental issues for international law and the law of the sea. While some of these activities may be entirely consistent with coastal state territorial sea rights, the construction of artificial islands above disputed maritime features and/or maritime features that do not
otherwise generate a territorial sea is legally dubious. Artificial islands and installations may also be built in the exclusive economic zone and atop the continental shelf but these features do not possess the status of islands under Article 121 of the 1982 UN Convention on the Law of the Sea. This seminar addressed these issues in the context of recent developments in the South China Sea.

August (AGD)

Does law constrain the external exercise of public power?
Campbell McLachlan Professor of Law at Victoria University of Wellington

How, if at all, does the law in Anglo-Commonwealth legal systems effectively constrain the external exercise of public power? Drawing upon groundbreaking research for his new book Foreign Relations Law (Cambridge UP, 2014), McLachlan argues that foreign relations can no longer be seen as a zone of non-law, where the executive has a free hand. Rather he shows how both Parliament and the courts have traced new principles that allocate jurisdiction and determine applicable law in cases that concern the public power of states.

In this seminar, he discussed in particular how Australian courts have made a distinctive contribution to this field. By taking a fresh and sometimes critical look at inherited doctrines, they have begun working out the implications of the distribution of powers within the Constitution for the exercise of the foreign relations power. In the process, they have been increasingly willing to subject exercises of Australian executive power abroad to legal regulation. McLachlan showed that the Australian approach has begun to have a real impact on judicial thought in this controversial area in other states.

September (ANU)

Statutory interpretation in the federal courts—causes and effect
Sue Tongue, Minter Ellison

The federal courts’ approach to statutory interpretation was briefly outlined and its history was traced in this stimulating presentation. The possible impact of that approach on the legislature and executive was examined, followed by an exploration of various responses available to them.

October (DFAT)

Legal challenges posed by fully autonomous weapons systems
Diwaka Prakash, Legal Division, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Lethal autonomous weapons systems (LAWS), which some have dubbed as ‘killer robots’, raise legal, ethical and practical questions regarding their potential deployment in the battlefield. LAWS will be a subject of discussion at the 2015 Meeting of States Parties to the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons later this year. This seminar explored some of the legal challenges posed by LAWS, including in the context of international humanitarian law.
November (AGS/AGD)

Questions of citizenship: constitutional and international law perspectives
Dr Genevieve Ebbeck, Senior General Counsel, Australian Government Solicitor and Professor Kim Rubenstein ANU

The Australian Citizenship Amendment (Allegiance to Australia) Bill 2015 has been subjected to much attention, including a report from the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Intelligence and Security recommending a range of amendments. In this seminar, Dr Ebbeck and Professor Rubenstein presented on some of the constitutional and international law issues that arise around the Bill.

Keynote lectures

Eighteenth Geoffrey Sawer Lecture 2015
5 August 2015

Justice Daphne Barak-Erez was appointed to the Supreme Court of Israel in 2012. Before that, she was a professor of law at Tel Aviv University for many years and served as the Dean of the Law School. She is a member of the American Law Institute and of the International Academy of Comparative Law.

During her career she has been a visiting professor and researcher at various universities, including Stanford, Columbia, Duke and Toronto. She has been awarded several prizes, including the Rector’s Prize for Excellence in Teaching (three times), the Zeltner Prize, the Heshin Prize, the Woman of the City Award (by the City of Tel-Aviv) and the Women in Law Award (by the Israeli Bar). She is the author and editor of eighteen books and more than 120 articles in Israel, England, Canada and the United States.

History and memory in constitutional adjudication

Court rulings given in the past serve as primary sources for the writing of history. At the same time, occasionally, judicial decisions themselves consist of history writing. This history writing should be of interest. Indeed,
judges are not expert historians. However, their decisions have normative consequences, and sometimes even an impact on the process of shaping collective memory. The lecture discussed different kinds of history writing in judicial decisions and elaborated on their different roles and implications. More concretely, it discussed the role of the narration of history in constitutional judicial decisions as ‘history of justification’, that is history that establishes justification for normative choices made by the court.

» Sound Cloud recording

The Annual Kirby Lecture on International Law

13 August 2015

Professor Gerry Simpson holds the Kenneth Bailey Chair of Law at Melbourne Law School, the University of Melbourne, and is currently a Soros Fellow (based in Tbilisi, Georgia). He will take up a Chair of Public International Law at London School of Economics in 2016.

Human rights with a vengeance: one hundred years of retributive humanitarianism

On 12 October 1915, an English nurse, Edith Cavell was executed by the Germans in Brussels and partly as a result, there emerged an almost entirely novel way of thinking about international law. Defeated enemies became ‘war criminals’, atrocities became ‘crimes against humanity’ and (a certain sort of) war became ‘aggression’. The first half of the 20th century then saw the appearance of a whole idiom and, then, architecture (Nuremberg, Tokyo) of what became known as international criminal law. This field (sometimes referred to also as ‘war crimes law’) began as tentative foothold (Versailles, Leipzig) but has now thoroughly colonised our thinking about war and peace (Rome, The Hague). And when it comes to human rights abuses, it is de rigueur to call for war crimes trials for the perpetrators, and justice for the victims.

In this lecture Professor Simpson engaged in a critical stocktaking of this century of retributive humanitarianism.

» Watch on YouTube
Assorted seminars and lectures throughout the year

19 March 2015
Asymmetric conflicts: applying the rules
Pnina Sharvit Baruch, Institute for National Security Studies

Pnina Sharvit Baruch, a senior research associate at the Institute for National Security Studies, retired from the Israel Defense Forces in 2009, after serving in the International Law Department there for twenty years, five of which (2003–09) she was head of the Department. In this capacity, Adv. Sharvit Baruch was a senior legal advisor responsible for advising IDF commanders and decision makers at the governmental level on a wide variety of issues relating to international law and administrative law, among them: the laws of armed conflict and occupation of territory; naval law; counter-terrorism; security liaison; border demarcation; and conflict resolution. Adv. Sharvit Baruch reflected on this experience in analysing the challenges of applying the rules in asymmetric conflicts.

2 June 2015
Presented with the Centre for International Governance and Justice, RegNet
Joanne Kinslor, Kinslor Prince Lawyers

The Human Rights Committee of the United Nations recently found that Australia breached its human rights obligations under article 17(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) by unlawful interference in the family life of Mansour Leghaei. Dr Leghaei was forced to leave Australia, where his family were lawfully settled, by way of a process the Committee found lacked due process of law, thereby failing to provide him with ‘an adequate and objective justification for the interference with (his) long-settled family life’ in Australia.

Dr Leghaei’s application for a permanent residence visa was refused because the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) assessed he was a risk to national security, but at no stage has he been provided with even a summary of the reasons for that assessment.

The Federal Court found that Dr Leghaei was entitled to procedural fairness, but admitted that ‘in practical terms’ it amounted to ‘nothingness’ in his case. Before the United Nations, the Australian Government maintained that this practical nothingness was nevertheless substantive procedural fairness at law, where provision of information to Dr Leghaei had only been limited to the extent necessary for reasons of national security.

This seminar considers the competing arguments presented to the United Nations on the central issue in this case: What is due process for aliens in cases where issues of national security arise?

Joanne Kinslor is a member of the legal team that assisted Dr Leghaei to present his communication to the United Nations. Joanne is a Principal Solicitor at Kinslor Prince Lawyers and her main practice area is Australian immigration law. She is also an affiliate member of the Andrew and Renata Kaldor Centre for International Refugee Law.
3 June 2015

It’s the legislation . . . !

Presented with the AJAL

Professor John McMillan AO, Australian Information Commissioner
Dr Genevieve Ebbeck, Australian Government Solicitor, Cain Sibley,
Clayton Utz, Professor Kim Rubenstein, ANU College of Law

The consideration by the High Court of administrative law continues to take place principally in the context of the Migration Act. But these Migration Act and other recent judgments have implications for a wide range of administrative decision-making, and in particular emphasise the centrality of statutory interpretation to administrative decision making.

The seminar discussed and drew upon trends from, the following recent High Court cases:

- ACMA v Today FM [2015] HCA 7 concerning whether an administrative body can decide whether an offence has been committed
- CPCF [2015] HCA 1 concerning the power to take persons approaching Australia back to India
- Plaintiff M150 of 2013 [2014] HCA 25 concerning a determination under the Migration Act
- Plaintiff S156 of 2013 [2014] HCA 22 concerning the designation of PNG as a regional processing country.

11 June 2015

National security, legal professional privilege and bar rules

Presented with the ACT Bar, Civil Liberties and the Law Society of the ACT
Bernard Collaery, Collaery Lawyers

Bernard Collaery explained the law or lack thereof behind the raid on his Canberra office over alleged espionage revelations . . . and how the ICJ has ordered Attorney-General Brandis to cease espionage against East Timor, seal documents that ASIO took, and stop raiding Collaery’s practice over a $40 billion oil and gas dispute in the Timor Sea.

10 July 2015

The thin justice of international law

Steven Ratner, University of Michigan

In a world suffused with conflict and human misery, global justice remains one of the most compelling missions of our time. Although philosophers of global justice have often stayed clear of legal institutions, international law plays a critical role in understanding the prospects for global justice. For the core rules of international law—even if they came about as a result of power politics and historical contingencies—have their own morality and represent a real-world incarnation of a vision of global justice. That ethical vision is one that I term “thin” justice. While not as “thick” as the justice we might minimally expert for domestic politics, nor the limit of justice for which we should strive in the international realm, it is justice deserving of the name and consistent with a cosmopolitan vision of the world. After explaining his notion of thin
justice, Steven Ratner applied his standard of justice to international law rules concerning a state’s authority to protect human rights beyond its borders.

17 July 2015

The destruction of flight MH17: one year on

Associate Professor David Letts (ANU College of Law), Stephanie Lerino and John Reid (Attorney-General’s Department), WGCDR Pat Keane (Australian Defence Force), Ron Bartsch (AvLaw International) and Greg French (Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade) all discussed the legal issues surround the Malaysian Airlines flight MH17, shot down over Ukraine while enroute from Amsterdam to Kuala Lumpur.

The destruction of MH17 occurred during an armed conflict in Ukraine between pro-Russian forces and the forces of the Ukrainian government. Attribution of blame for the firing of the missile that destroyed the aircraft remains contested between the belligerents.

Immediately after the aircraft was shot down, the Australian Government launched a Whole-of-Government response to the MH17 air disaster in Ukraine which included the deployment of personnel to the Ukraine from a wide range of government agencies and departments. Numerous legal issues arose prior to, during, and subsequent to this deployment.

This seminar, held on the 1st anniversary of the loss of MH17, explored the manner in which a number of these legal issues were addressed and also subsequent developments to address some of those issues. Presentations were given by officials directly involved, as well as academic commentators who provided their perspective on the issues that emerged following flight MH17 being destroyed.

6 August 2015

In conversation with . . . Justice Daphne Barak Erez and Professor Kim Rubenstein

Presented with the ANU Gender Institute

Justice Daphne Barak Erez, Supreme Court of Israel and Professor Kim Rubenstein, ANU College of Law

Professor Rubenstein is running an ARC linkage grant oral history project on Trailblazing Women Lawyers in Australia, through the National Library of Australia and she will draw from that experience to talk with Justice Barak Erez about influences on her life, and her professional experiences as a woman and as judge.

19 August 2015

Human rights and international law at the coal face

Hillel Neuer, UN Watch and Bassem Eid, Palestinian Human Rights Monitoring Group

Both speakers shared their experiences of using and engaging with human rights law in their respective work environments—at the coal face.

* Sound Cloud recording
4 November 2015

Does the principle of the best interests of the child really matter in migration? A European perspective.

Presented with the ANU Centre for European Studies

Dr Carmelo Danisi, University of Bologna, Italy

Among the challenges that Europe has been facing in dealing with migration flows, the compliance with international human rights obligations when a child is involved remains problematic. While the European Union has been successful in including the protection of the rights of the child in its Treaties, the European Court of Justice and the European Court of Human Rights have both fostered the effective protection of these rights. More importantly, these supranational Courts have gradually integrated the best interests of the child as a primary consideration when dealing with cases involving, directly or indirectly, children; and have raised human rights issues in the context of measures adopted by European States to control migration flows.

They are shaping the meaning of the best interests of the child in the European framework through the definition of concrete solutions to alleged human rights violations. Although a case-by-case analysis seems to be in compliance with the international interpretation of this concept, it appears that the use of the best interests of the child is shaped around European States’ needs instead of protecting the rights of the children involved.

This presentation asked: is Europe realising a genuine children-oriented mainstreaming in the field of migration?

Carmelo Danisi is a post-doctoral researcher in EU and International Law at the University of Bologna and was a visiting researcher at the Australian National University College of Law as the recipient of an Australian Government Endeavour Research fellowship for his research for a project on the use of the principle of the best interests of the child in the context of migration.

19 November 2015

The right to vote and restrictions on eligibility

Presented with ANU Centre for European Studies

Dr Reuven (Ruvi) Ziegler, University of Reading

A critical assessment of the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights

The talk argued that Strasbourg’s voting eligibility jurisprudence concerning the interpretation of Article 3 of the Protocol I of the ECHR (A3P1) has not clearly distinguished between the desirable level of scrutiny for questions relating to the choice of electoral systems and the desirable level of scrutiny for questions relating to voting eligibility.

Drawing on Strasbourg’s jurisprudence regarding the disenfranchisement of convicts and non-resident citizens, Dr Ziegler argued that, even if Contracting States should enjoy a wide ‘margin of appreciation’ on grounds of democratic legitimacy regarding their choice of electoral system, such a
margin is unwarranted when Strasbourg scrutinises legislation that affects individual access to the democratic process. Further, he suggested that, in its voting eligibility jurisprudence, especially regarding the disenfranchisement of convicts and of non-resident citizens, Strasbourg has been timid rather than interventionist. Further, the latest CJEU judgment in Delvigne demonstrates that Strasbourg’s jurisprudence also affects the scope of protection of voting rights under EU law.

Major conferences

Traversing divides: a symposium in honour of Deborah Cass

14 August 2015

This symposium honoured the work of Deborah Cass, 15 February 1960–4 June 2013, a brilliant Australian constitutional and international lawyer. Deborah studied at the University of Melbourne and Harvard Law School and taught at Melbourne Law School, The Australian National University and the London School of Economics. She was a member of The Australian National University’s Centre for International and Public Law from 1993–2000. Deborah’s work offered illuminating new perspectives in a range of fields, from the right to self-determination, critical international legal theory, and feminist legal theory to the international trade law system.


This symposium drew together academics from around the globe to reflect on Deborah’s scholarship and contributions to public law and international law, and how they might influence current controversies. Speakers included Professor Tony Anghie (University of Utah), Professor Hilary Charlesworth (The Australian National University), Jennifer Clarke, Professor Kerry Rittich (University of Toronto), Professor Kim Rubenstein (The Australian National University) and Associate Professor Margaret Young (Melbourne Law School) and concluded with reflections by Professor Gerry Simpson (Melbourne Law School). A publication from the event is planned for 2016.
2015 Public Law Weekend
– Constitutional deliberations

1–2 October 2015

The 2015 Public Law Weekend was held on Thursday 1 and Friday 2 October at the ANU College of Law following in the tradition established in 1996 by the Centre for International and Public Law, encouraging public lawyers around the country to meet with one another in the nation’s capital to engage with and be stimulated by fellow academics and public law practitioners.

This year’s conference was part of a larger project with a sister conference to be held at University College London a month later—both focussing on processes of deliberation about and under a constitution.

In the past CIPL has largely invited people to present at the annual Public Law Weekend, however this year we extended a call for abstracts around the country to academics and practitioners alike to consider presenting a paper under the theme Constitutional deliberations and the response was excellent.

Book launches 2015

Law and Democracy: Contemporary Questions
Edited by Glenn Patmore and Kim Rubenstein

24 March 2015

Launched by Mr Colin Neave AM, Commonwealth Ombudsman

Law and Democracy: Contemporary Questions, edited by Glenn Patmore and Kim Rubenstein, provides a fresh understanding of law’s regulation of Australian democracy. The book, which evolved from a Centre for International and Public Law’s annual Public Law Weekend conference, enriches public law scholarship, deepening and challenging the current conceptions of law’s regulation of popular participation and legal representation.

Examining the regulation of democracy, the book scrutinises the assumptions and scope of constitutional democracy and enhances our understanding of the frontiers of accountability and responsible government. In addition, key issues of law, culture and democracy are revealed in their socio-legal context.

The book brings together emerging and established scholars and practitioners with expertise in public law and will be of interest to those studying law, politics, cultural studies and contemporary history.

Legal Perspectives on Security Institutions
Edited by Hitoshi Nasu and Kim Rubenstein

18 November 2015
Launched by Katrina Cooper, Senior Legal Advisor, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Due to the continuing expansion of the notion of security, various national, regional and international institutions now find themselves addressing contemporary security issues. While institutions may evolve by adjusting themselves to new challenges, they can also fundamentally alter the intricate balance between security and current legal frameworks. This volume explores the tensions that occur when institutions address contemporary security threats, in both public and international law contexts. As part of the Connecting International with Public Law series, it provides important and valuable insights into the legal issues and perspectives surrounding the institutional responses to contemporary security challenges. It is essential reading for scholars, practitioners and policy makers seeking to understand the legal significance of security institutions and the implications of their evolution on the rule of law and legitimacy.

> cambridge.org/au/academic/subjects/law/public-international-law/legal-perspectives-security-institutions

Rights, Religious Pluralism and the Recognition of Difference

27 November 2015
Presented with the Centre for European Studies

Launched through a seminar with speakers: Dr Dorota Gozdecka, Dr Nadirsyah Hosen and Dr Richard Mohr

Dr Dorota Gozdecka was joined by Dr Nadirsyah Hosen from Monash University and Dr Richard Mohr from the Department of Sociology and Social Policy, University of Sydney to discuss her new book on human rights and their principles of interpretation which Gozdecka argues are the leading legal paradigms of our time.

> routledge.com/products/9781138798922
CIPL Visiting Program

Judge-In-Residence Program

The Centre offers the opportunity for judges to enjoy a research intensive period at the College. Judges-in-Residence have the opportunity to be part of the research community, benefitting from, and contributing to, the vibrancy of the College's research culture.

CIPL Visiting Judge—Rachel Pepper

This year CIPL hosted in March 2015 its first Visiting Judge, Justice Rachel Pepper under its Judge in Residence Program.

Justice Pepper was appointed as a Judge of the Land and Environment Court of NSW in May 2009. From 1997 until her appointment in 2009, Justice Pepper practised as a barrister at the New South Wales Bar. Her principal areas of practice were general commercial law and public law, including constitutional law. While at the bar, Justice Pepper was a member of Bar Council from 2000–09 and was Secretary of the Bar Council from 2006–09. Prior to being called to the bar, Justice Pepper was the Associate to Justice McHugh in the High Court of Australia from 1996–97. She graduated from the ANU College of Law in 1994 with a First Class Honours degree.

CIPL was delighted to have Justice Pepper visit and an active program enabled her to contribute greatly to the College during her stay. This included giving classes to students, and presenting a seminar Prominent female figures on 1 April 2015.

Government Visitor Program

The Centre offers the opportunity for a government officer to enjoy a research intensive period at the College. Our Government Visitors have the opportunity to be part of the research community, benefiting from, and contributing to, the vibrancy of the College’s research culture.

The program is a flexible one, but it is anticipated that the Government Visitor will have a research project to pursue whilst at the College and be willing to draw from, and add to, the intellectual life of the College.

Visiting Government Lawyer—Christina Hey-Nguyen

Christina Hey-Nguyen is a Legal Officer in the International Law Section with the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade. She works on a range of public international legal issues specialising in international human rights law, international organisations and the legal framework pertaining to private military and security companies. Christina has a Bachelor of Laws (Honours) and Bachelor of Finance from the University of Technology Sydney and is presently completing a Masters of Law at the Australian National University.

Christina’s stint as a Government Visitor was to enable her to concentrate on preparing DFAT’s contribution to this year’s Australian Year Book of International law, Practice Section.
Feature on Cambridge University Press, CIPL Series:

Connecting International Law with Public Law

**Legal Perspectives on Security Institutions**
Edited by Elisabeth Murray and Kim Rubenstein

**Allegiance and Identity in a Globalised World**
Edited by Fiona Skelton, Markoleda, and Kim Rubenstein

**The Public Law of Gender**
From the Local to the Global
Edited by Elise Rubenstein and Katherine G. Young

**Sanctions Accountability and Governance in a Globalised World**
Edited by Jeremy Farrell and Kim Rubenstein

**Environmental Discourses in Public and International Law**
Edited by Robin James and Kim Rubenstein

**Incentives for Global Public Health**
Patent Law and Access to Essential Medicines
Edited by Thomas Pogge, Matthew Hummer, and Kim Rubenstein

TO PLACE AN ORDER PLEASE CONTACT CUSTOMER SERVICE ON (03) 8671 1400 OR EMAIL ENQUIRIES@CAMBRIDGE.EDU.AU
Australian Yearbook of International Law

The *Australian Year Book of International Law* publishes articles on a range of international law topics, discusses Australian practice in international law, includes a summary and analysis of Australian cases in which international law was an issue each year, and features a lively section of book reviews. The current editors are Professor Donald R Rothwell, Professor Kim Rubenstein, and Associate Professor Matthew Zagor.

Orders are being taken for Volume 33 which is now in production and due to be published in early-mid 2016. ANZSIL members receive a substantial discount on hard copies of the *Year Book*, which has been published by the Australian National University’s Centre for International and Public Law since 1965. Volume 33 will include articles by Gerrv Simpson, Martin Ratcovich, Bridget Lewis, and Stephen Tully, as well as a collection of articles about the destruction of flight MH17.

Volume 32 is now available and can be ordered online: [aybil.law.anu.edu.au](http://aybil.law.anu.edu.au). It includes articles from Gillian Triggs, Andrew Byrnes, Jane McAdam and Lucas Lixinski, and also features a collection of articles on the Whaling Case (Australia v Japan).

Back issues can also be ordered online and are available at great discounts for ANZSIL members. For back issues, bulk orders and general enquiries, please email aybil@anu.edu.au.

> [aybil.law.anu.edu.au](http://aybil.law.anu.edu.au)

ANZSIL

CIPL has been the Secretariat for ANZSIL since its inception in 1992 and many CIPL members attended the Annual Australian/NZ Society of International law conference held in Wellington in 2015.

One of the events at ANZSIL in 2015 was the launch of Professor Don Rothwell’s jointly edited book:


Professor Kim Rubenstein presented in the closing Plenary session on *The Vulnerability of Dual Citizenship*.

See further [anzsil.org.au](http://anzsil.org.au) for details of the forthcoming 2016 annual conference in Canberra.
Lists of colleagues’ outputs

The following is not a comprehensive list, but represents a significant range of contributions made by CIPL members, since our last Newsletter in 2014.

**PETER BAILEY**


**PAULINE BOMBALL**


**LAUREN BUTTERLY**

Sean Brennan and Lauren Butterly, ‘High Court sharply divided in latest native title case’ on AUSPUBLAW (18 June 2015).


**PETER CANE**


**MOEEN CHEEMA**


**ANTHONY CONNOLLY**


**MICHAEL COPER**


**DOMINIQUE DALLA POZZA**

‘Refining the Australian Counter-Terrorism Law Framework: How deliberative has Australia’s Parliament been able to be (and does it matter)?’, Centre for International and Public Law, Public Law Weekend, Canberra, 1 October 2015.

‘Refining the Australian Counter-Terrorism Law Framework: How deliberative has Australia’s Parliament been able to be?’, *Deliberative Constitutionalism Workshop*, University College London, London, 10 November 2015.

‘Refining the Australian Counter-Terrorism Law Framework: How deliberative has Australia’s Parliament been able to be?’ Seminar at School of Law , Nottingham University, Nottingham, 5 November 2015.

**THOMAS FAUNCE**


Faunce, Thomas. 2015. ‘Does the world need a global project on artificial photosynthesis?’, Interface Focus, Royal Society Publishing.


Faunce, Thomas. 2015. ‘Sustainable fuel, food, fertilizer and ecosystems through a global artificial photosynthetic system: Overcoming anticompetitive barriers’, Interface Focus, Royal Society Publishing.

Jolyon Ford


Dorota Gozdecka


Ron Levy

Public Law Weekend—Monday 9 November 2015 (UCL, ANU, McGill University), London.

Deliberative Constitutionalism Workshop.

Discussant on Parliamentary Deliberation II.

Public Law Weekend—Thursday 1 October 2015.

Discussant: Theoretical Perspectives 1 and 2.

Robert McLaughlin


Belligerency and Use of Force in NIAC Contexts, 2015, ‘Non-International Armed Conflicts: Developments and Challenges’, Asia Pacific Centre for Military Law.


Anne McNaughton


Since July, 2015, Anne has been the Deputy Director of the ANU Centre for European Studies. Anne has represented the Centre at a National Gallery Floor Talk given by Jane Milosch, of the Smithsonian Institute, who spoke about the work of establishing provenance of artefacts in art gallery collections.

Anne attended the European Law Institute Annual Congress in Vienna.

In conjunction with CIPL, the ANUCES hosted a public lecture by visiting scholar Dr Ruvi Ziegler.

Anne participated in the Australia New Zealand EU Centres Conference, in Auckland, in November.
Anne prepared the programme for the visit of Baroness Nicholson of Winterbourne and accompanied her to meetings with the Hon Philip Ruddock MP, Chair of the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights and Senator Alex Gallacher (Chair of the Senate Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade References Committee)(Deputy Chair of the Senate Foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee) and Senator Chris Back (of the Liberal Party)(Chair of the Senate foreign Affairs, Defence and trade References Committee)(Chair of the Senate foreign Affairs, Defence and Trade Legislation Committee).

Anne participated in a policy briefing for a visiting delegation of the President of the Confederation of Asia-Pacific Chambers of Commerce and Industry and for the Australian Ambassador Delegate to Poland, Czech Republic and Lithuania.

In December, Anne attended the official opening of the Historical Archives of the European Union which house the case files of the Court of Justice of the European Union as they are made available after 30 years; and she attended the conference, Setting an Agenda for Historical Research in European Law.

HITOSHI NASU


JOSHUA NEOH


MOLLY TOWNES O’BRIEN


SIMON RICE OAM
Rice, S. 2015. ‘Charlie Hebdo attacks provide a false pretext for 18C debate’.

Rice, S. 2015. ‘Human rights don’t matter in our public debate - but they should’.


HEATHER ROBERTS


Heather Roberts (and Gabrielle Appleby) ‘Bias and the Black Letter Judge: Who is Dyson Heydon’ The Conversation, 21 August 2015.

Conference presentations: 2015 “He who would not be muzzled” Justice Heydon’s Last Dissent Great Australian Dissents Workshop, Gilbert and Tobin Centre of Public Law, 9-10 June 2105 (with Gabrielle Appleby).

D O N  R O T H W E L L


Media work

Katina Curtis ‘Greste retrial vindicates legal team’ The Australian 2 January 2014 discussing the implications for Peter Greste following the order of a retrial. Also reported in Courier Mail, Herald Sun, Daily Telegraph, The West Australian, AAP Bulletins.

Radio 2UE: 4 January 2015, 7.05pm discussing the legal options available for Peter Greste.

Radio 2SER: 5 January 2015, 9.16am discussing the legal options available for Peter Greste.

‘Experts want Australia to put its money where its mouth is, and help New Zealand deal with illegal fishing boats’ Newstalk ZB Radio (New Zealand) 16 January 2015 (discussing whether Australia should make a greater commitment to engage illegal fishers in the Southern Ocean).


‘Australia in Diplomatic Bind over Malaysia fugitive Who Faces Death Penalty’ Dow Jones Newswires (21 January 2015) discussing the situation of a Malaysian national, convicted in absentia of murder in Malaysia and now facing capital punishment.

‘Indonesia’s imposition of the death penalty for drug crimes breaches its international obligations’ The Age, 18 February 2015 p45; discussing Article 6 of the ICCPR and Indonesia’s obligations to apply capital punishment to only the most serious crimes. Also reproduced in The Canberra Times, 18 February 2015, p. B4. Also reproduced in The Sydney Morning Herald online.

ABC Radio 666 Canberra ‘Mornings’ 18 February 2015, 9.07am discussing the legal and political issues relating to the imposition on the death penalty on Andrew Chan and Myuran Sukamaran in Indonesia.

Megan Neil ‘What Hicks did wasn’t a crime—then’ AAP 19 February 2015 discussing the decision of the US Military Commission overturning the 2007 conviction of David Hicks.

Radio 6PR, Monday, 16 March 2015, 4.15pm discussing the death penalty in the Philippines.
Lectures

‘The International Court of Justice and Whaling in the Southern Ocean’ present at Chuo Law School, Chuo University, Tokyo, 5 November 2014.


Conferences/Workshops


KIM RUBENSTEIN


Opinion pieces and Media


Innocent Australians risk getting caught up in laws to strip citizenship—expert, The Guardian.

Plan to strip dual-national terrorism suspects of citizenship to come under scrutiny, ABC Radio.

Manus: Australian Government must explain, ABC Radio.

Australia and New Zealand urged to take action over Nauru rights, Canberra Times.

Bob Carr’s new tune undermines Australian citizenship and foreign policy, Canberra Times.

Citizen caned: Zaky Mallah scandal diverts attention from Citizenship Act debate, The Age.

Experts say the new citizenship bill could still get thrown out by the courts Kim Rubenstein, Buzzfeed.


Citizenship laws will be tested, SBS News.


www.abc.net.au/am/content/2015/s4286291.htm

www.abc.net.au/pm/content/2015/s4249838.htm

www.abc.net.au/pm/content/2015/s4306465.htm


‘Dual citizens, who are convicted of terror offences, can now be deported under new laws’, SBS On Demand, 2015.


Submission to Parliamentary Committee on Security and Intelligence—at the 3hr and 58 minute mark of parlview.aph.gov.au/mediaPlayer.php?videoID=270675&operation_mode=parlview

Presentation


Kim Rubenstein was part of a panel for the NSW Chapter of the AIAL for their seminar, ‘Where lies the balance?’ talking about the new Citizenship legislation, 24 June.


Kim Rubenstein presented at the annual Australian/NZ Society of International Law conference in Wellington, NZ; 2 to 4 July on the closing President’s Plenary panel discussing the new challenge of Dual Citizenship in a Globalised World.

IMOGEN SAUNDERS


JAMES STELLIOS


DANIEL STEWART

Tony Connolly and Daniel Stewart (Eds), Public Law in an Age of Statutes: Essays in honour of Dennis Pearce, Federation Press, 2015.


MARGARET THORNTON


‘Feminism and the Neoliberal State’ in Miranda Kiraly and Meagan Tyler (eds), Freedom Fallacy: The Limits of Liberal Feminism, Connor Court Publishing, Ballarat, 2015, 43-54.

‘Feminism and the Neoliberal State’ in Miranda Kiraly and Meagan Tyler (eds), Freedom Fallacy: The Limits of Liberal Feminism, Connor Court Publishing, Ballarat, 2015, 43-54.


'Merit in a Legal Frame' in Diann Rodgers-Healey (ed), Pathways to Gender Equality: The Role of Merit and Quotas, Australian Centre for Leadership for Women, E-Book, Minamurra, NSW, 2015, 113-129.


'Understanding the Problem: The Neoliberal Conundrum' at National Wellness for Law Forum, Australian National University, 5-6 February 2015.

'Managerialism in the Corporate University', NTEU Elected Officers Education & Development Conference, Yarra Valley, 16-18 February 2015.


(Keynote), 'Gender Equity in Law' at Gender and Diversity in the Legal Profession, University of Western Sydney, 20 August 2015.

'The Unintended Consequences of Flexible Work: The Sacrifice of Intimacy’, Bureaucracy, Law & Intimacy Workshop, La Trobe University, 28 September 2015.


'Are Universities still fulfilling an Important Public Role’ & 'What are the Priorities for a Revitalised University System’ at Challenging the Privatised University System’ Conference, University of Queensland, 23-24 November 2015.

(with Ivo Lovric) ‘Complicity or Contestation? Poster Art and the Neoliberal University’ at Law, Literature & the Humanities Assn of Australasia Conference, University of Technology, Sydney, 10-12 December 2015.


Campus Morning Mail, ‘Less than they pay for’ (commenting on 'Deregulation, Debt and the Discipline of Law') 8 December 2014.

Campus Morning Mail, ‘Resignation, reformation, rage' (commenting on Through a Glass Darkly) 10 December 2014.


Vice Magazine: Interview on breastfeeding, 19 June 2015.

Radio 2SER: Sean Britten, Interview on public role of universities, 6 November 2015.

Radio 3CR: Interview on public role of universities, 9 November 2015.

FIONA WHEELER


ERNST WILLHEIM
3 March Presentation to U3A re the history and role of ASIO.

26 March public lecture, Manning Clark House Honest History series, Official History of ASIO.

On line publication, 'Is David Horner’s official history of ASIO ‘honest history’? Was Colonel Spry a traitor?'.

MATTHEW ZAGOR
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